

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/497,026 02/02/2000		Katsumi Tahara	450100-2952.2	6986		
20999	7590 07/14/2005		INER			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			DIEP, NHO	DIEP, NHON THANH		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2613			
				_		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/497,026	3	TAHARA ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Nhon T. Die	эp	2613	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE MA - Extension after Silver - If the period of the pe	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b by received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	at, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status					
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	tesponsive to communication(s) filed or his action is FINAL . 2b) ince this application is in condition for a losed in accordance with the practice up	This action is no allowance except f	n-final. or formal matters, pro	esecution as to the	merits is
Dispositio	n of Claims				,
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with a laim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from con			
Application	n Papers		•		
10)⊠ TI A R	ne specification is objected to by the Exne drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2000</u> pplicant may not request that any objection eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)⊠ acce to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docu Certified copies of the priority docu Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been uments have been e priority documen Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>08/477,855</u> ed in this National S	
Attachment(s	•				
2) Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ lo(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		152)

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

1. Claims 1-47 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following: The reply is an unsigned declaration and therefore claims 1-47 are rejected as being based upon an insufficient reissue oath/declaration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Tahara (US 5,743,380) as set forth in the previous Office Action.

Tahara (different inventive entity) discloses the same picture signal transmitting method and apparatus as of the present reissue application (Tahara et al) and therefore

claims 1-47 of Tahara et al are rejected as being clearly anticipated by Tahara since both disclose an identical specification.

With regard to the applicants' arguments that Tahara does not appear to disclose pictures type being included in a data identification area of a digital picture signal. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Tahara, figure 14 and col. 16, In. 13-24, shows that the coding circuit 108 serves to encode the digital signal as a function of the separated picture type to produce a re-coded digital video signal which includes, for each reencoded picture, its picture type. It is respectfully submitted that the re-coded digital signal, therefore includes at least picture type (coding information) which can be identified by the decoder 110.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eyuboglu et al, in view of Puri (US 5,563,593).

Eyuboglu et al discloses an efficient transcoding device comprising the same encoding apparatus for encoding source video data which had previously been encoded at a previous encoding process and had previously been decoded at a previous decoding process (fig. 3), the apparatus comprising means for receiving the source video data (fig. 3, el. 304); means for extracting coding information from the source

Art Unit: 2613

data, wherein the coding information relates to a coding operation of the previous encoding process (fig. 10, output of el. 1002 to el. 1022, 1020 and 1010); and means for encoding the source video data in accordance with the coding information (el. 1010) as specified in claims 28, 29, 30, 31 and 44-47 and means for receiving picture coding type indicating which of I-picture, P-picture or B-picture had been associated with the previous coding process (fig. 10, output of el. 1002: framing inter/intra) as specified in claims 32 and 33; a decoding apparatus for decoding an encoded bit stream which had been encoded at the previous encoding process, the apparatus comprising means for extracting coding information from the encoded bit stream, wherein the coding information relates to a coding operation of the previous encoding process; means for decoding the encoded bit stream to generate decoded video data in accordance with the coding information (fig. 3, el. 304 and col. 4, ln. 25-33: "achieve the performance of decode"); and means for transmitting the decoded video data and the coding information so that the coding information will be used in a later encoding process for the decoded video data (fig. 10, outputs of el. 1002) 38, 39, 40 and 41 and wherein the picture coding type indicates which of I-picture, P-picture or B-picture had been associated with the previous coding process (fig. 10, output of el. 1002; framing inter/intra) as specified in claims 42 and 43. It is noted that Eyuboglu et al does not particularly disclose the coding information is included in a data identification area of the source video data as amended to claims 28--33 and 38-47; however, Eyuboglu et al further discloses "State-of-the-art digital video coding systems utilize transform coding for spatial compression and a form of predictive coding known as motion-compensated

Application/Control Number: 09/497,026

Art Unit: 2613

prediction (MCP) for temporal compression. Video compression techniques that have recently been adopted in international standards (e.g., the MPEG standard developed by the International Standards Organization's Motion Picture Experts Group (ISO's MPEG) and ITU-T's H.261), or others that are under consideration for future standards, all employ a so-called block-matching MCP technique." (col. 1, In. 41-51). In addition to that, Puri teaches header information is available in the digital coding information as part of the MPEG standard and is can be identified by the decoder and that the header information includes picture type and other information as well (col. 7, In. 13-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that, the coding information if it had not already been part of the digital picture signal and can be identified by the decoder of Eyuboglu et al, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include the coding information in a data identification area of the source video data to be identified by the decoder as taught by Puri. Doing so would help to meet the MPEG standard and help to decode video signal properly.

6. Claims 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eyuboglu et al, in view of Puri.

As applied to claim 38 above, it is noted that Eyuboglu et al does not particularly disclose a multiplexer for multiplexing the decoded video data and the coding information to generate multiplexed data; and means for transmitting the multiplexed data so that the coding information will be used in a later encoding process as specified

Application/Control Number: 09/497,026 Page 6

Art Unit: 2613

in claims 34-37. Eyuboglu et al shows that outputs of the decoder (fig. 10, el. 1002) can be directly fed to adder 1004 and encoder 1010 without the need of multiplexing these outputs and separating them again at later step. As a matter of designer's choice and/or efficiency, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made to either feed both outputs of el 1002 separately or multiplexing them and separating them later.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Wasserman et al (US 5,774,206) discloses a process for controlling an MPEG decoder.
- b. Puri (US 5,500,678) discloses an optimized scanning of transform coefficients in video coding.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ND 7/11/2005

NHON DIEP PRIMARY EXAMINER